COMPARISON OF PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS (PCA) AND LINEAR DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS (LDA) BASED FEATURE EXTRACTION FOR FACE RECOGNITION SYSTEM AND IMPLEMENTATION FOR BIOMETRICS BASED TIME ATTENDANCE SYSTEM

By

Adrian Rhesa Septian Siswanto 12110001

A thesis submitted to the faculty of

ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the BACHELOR'S DEGREE in

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY



SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY EduTown BSD City Tangerang 15339 Indonesia July 2014

Revision after Thesis Defense 14 July 2014

STATEMENT BY THE AUTHOR

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and to the best of my knowledge, it does not contain material published previously or written by another person, nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at any educational institution, except where due acknowledgement is made in the thesis.



Thesis Co-Advisor

Date

Dr. Ir. Gembong Baskoro, M.Sc

Dean

Date

ABSTRACT

COMPARISON OF PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS (PCA) AND LINEAR DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS (LDA) BASED FEATURE EXTRACTION FOR FACE RECOGNITION SYSTEM AND IMPLEMENTATION FOR BIOMETRICS BASED TIME ATTENDANCE SYSTEM

By

Adrian Rhesa Septian Siswanto

Anto Satriyo Nugroho, Thesis Advisor Maulahikmah Galinium, Thesis Co-Advisor

SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY

Face Recognition begins with extracting the coordinates of features such as width of mouth, width of eyes, pupil, and compare the result with the measurements stored in the database and return the closest record. Nowadays, there are a lot of face recognition technique and algorithms found and developed around the world. Facial recognition becomes an interesting research topic. It is proven by numerous number of published papers related with facial recognition including facial feature extraction, facial algorithm improvements, and facial recognition implementations. Main purposes of this research are to get the best facial recognition algorithm (Eigenface uses PCA and Fisherface uses LDA) provided by the Open CV 2.4.8 by comparing the ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristics) curve and implement it in the attendance system as the main case study. Based on the experiments, the ROC curve proves that Eigenface produce better recognition results with less error rate than the Fisherface. Eigenface implemented inside the Attendance System returns between 70% to 90% similarity for genuine face images.

Keywords - face recognition, OpenCV, Eigenface, Fisherface, attendance system, biometrics.



SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to my beloved family, my relatives, my friends, my country Indonesia, and to all Indonesian researchers who dedicate their time, efforts, and knowledge to study and develop the face recognition algorithm.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, the author wishes to praise and give the utmost gratitude to Jesus Christ. Because of His blessing and guidance had given the author strength, creativity, health, and capabilities to overcome difficulties during thesis writing period.

Special thanks to Mr. Anto Satriyo Nugroho and Mr. Maulahikmah Galinium for their willingness to become the author's advisor and co-advisor. Their knowledge given to the author and their willingness to help and guide the author are much appreciated. Their encouragement has helped the author to finish the thesis.

I would also like to show gratitude for Mr. James Purnama, Mr. Kho I Eng, Mr. Heru Purnomo Ipung, Mr. Reggio N. Hartono, Mr. Harya Widiputra, and all *Information Technology* lecturers during my study that prepares me with technical knowledge. They are the best.

Required more than academic support to overcome my thesis. Warmest thanks to my family who keep supporting and motivating me during thesis. For my friends especially Ruth Damayanti Setiono, Antonio Alfredo, Kevin Syahlie, Kafin, Joeyanti Amanda, Richard Kartiyanta, Harmando Taufik Gemilang, Avin Mohanza Kasim, Calvin Kwan, who are voluntarily giving their faces for my training set and for all my friends who shared the same experience for the past 4 years. Without them, none of this could have happened.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT BY THE AUTHOR	2
ABSTRACT	3
DEDICATION	5
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	6
TABLE OF CONTENTS	7
LIST OF FIGURES	8
LIST OF TABLES	10
CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION	11
1.1 General Statement of Problem Area	11
1.2 Research Purpose	12
1.3 Research Scope	12
1.4 Research Limitation	12
1.5 Significance of Study	12
1.6 Research Question and Hypothesis	13
1.6.1 Questions	13
1.6.2 Hypotheses	13
1.7 Methodology	13
1.8 Thesis Structure	14
CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW	167
2.1 The Face	16
2.1.1 Anatomy of the Human Face	
2.2 Facial Recognition as Biometric Identifier	17
2.2.1 Error Rate in Face Recognition as Biometric Identifi	er17
2.3 Open Source Computer Vision (OpenCV) 2.4.8	19
2.4 Eigenface	19
2.5 Fisherface	23
CHAPTER 3 - METHODOLOGY	26
3.1 Facial Image Data Overview and Image Acquisition	26
3.2 Facial Recognition Performance Comparison	28
3.3 Application (Attendance System) Overview	35
3.3.1 Collect Face Data	35

3.3.2 Attendance Recognition	39	
CHAPTER 4 - RESULT & DISCUSSION	41	
4.1 Exploration Result	41	
4.1.1 Training Set Review	41	
4.1.2 Illumination	41	
4.1.3 Identical Face	43	
4.2 Algorithm Comparison Result	45	
4.2.1 Eigenface	45	
4.3 Attendance System Result	51	
CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	54	G
5.1 Conclusions	54	(R
5.2 Future Works	54	-
5.3 Contributions	55	
REFERENCES	56	
GLOSSARY	57	
APPENDIX A - Training set of Face Images	58	
APPENDIX B - Impostor Matching Result in Grayscale Image	59	
APPENDIX C - Application Screenshots	60	
APPENDIX D - Paper	63	
APPENDIX E - CURRICULUM VITAE	69	

LIST OF FIGURES

S|

16
R graph and
18
space into a
20
22
23
ges26
27

SN

Figure 3.3 Last face images of student (12110001) as samples	;)	
Figure 3.7 Changes made inside "contrib.hpp"		
Figure 3.8 Modified Eigenface's predict method to return all distance value		
inside matrix		
Figure 3.9 Modified Fisherface's predict method to return all distance value		
inside matrix	_	_
Figure 3.10 A vector of 100 Euclidean distances obtained from inputting a face		(\mathbf{R})
sample against training set		U
Figure 3.11 "Collect Face Data" procedure / flowchart		
Figure 3.12 Pseudocode to load a classifier provided by OpenCV 2.4.837		
Figure 3.13 "Attendance Recognition" flowchart		
Figure 4.1 Example of bad training set of face image		
Figure 4.2 Correct prediction result due to normal lighting condition		
Figure 4.3 Changes of lighting affect the prediction result		
Figure 4.4 Illustration of a face tested against a training set consist of sibling face image		
Figure 4.5 The Eigenface and Fisherface failed to hold the correct prediction values		
Figure 4.7 Eigenface maximize the scatter of all projected samples47		
Figure 4.8 FNMR and FMR graph produced using Fisherface49)	
Figure 4.9 The result of maximizing the between class variance and	1	
minimizing the within class variance (Fisherface)50)	
Figure 4.10 ROC curve created using Eigenface and Fisherface51		
Figure 4.11 Attendance application graphical user interface (GUI)51		
Figure 4.12 Auto-generated CSV file52		
Figure 4.13 Data inside the auto-generated CSV file created by "Attendance	÷	
Recognition" feature		
Figure 4.14 The recognition result of a same person under different	í	
environment53	5	

LIST OF TABLES

 Table 3.1 Confusion Matrix (Distance Based Proximity Measurement)
 33

 Table 3.2 Confusion Matrix (Similarity Based Proximity Measurement)
 34

 Table 3.3 Normalized Confusion Matrix (Similarity Based Proximity
 Measurement)

 Measurement)
 34

 Table 4.1 Euclidean distance between face sample and training set
 43

 Table 4.2 FMR and FNMR values against specific threshold (Eigenface)
 45

 Table 4.3 Genuine matching produced by Eigenface depicted as grayscale
 47

 Table 4.4 FMR and FNMR values against specific threshold (Fisherface)
 48

 Table 4.5 Genuine matching produced by Fisherface depicted as grayscale
 49

SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY