FEASIBILITY STUDY ON E-LEARNING SYSTEM: A CASE STUDY IN TRAINING PROGRAM AT PT. ARTIFA SUKSES PERSADA

By

Sabrina Safira 11707002

BACHELOR'S DEGREE in

INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
Faculty of Engineering & Information Technology

SWISS GERNS-UNIVERSITY

SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY

The Prominence Tower
Jalan Jalur Sutera Barat No. 15, Alam Sutera
Tangerang, Banten 15143 - Indonesia

July 2021

Revision after Thesis Defense on July 16, 2020

STATEMENT BY THE AUTHOR

	I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and to the best	of my knowledge,
	it contains no material previously published or written by another pe	erson, nor material
	which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of a	ny other degree or
	diploma at any educational institution, except where due acknowle	dgment is made in
	the thesis.	
	Sabrina Safira	
	Student	Date
	Approved by:	
SW	Dr. Tanika D. Sofianti, S.T., M.T.	RSITY
	Thesis Advisor	Date
	Ir. Setijo Awibowo, M.M.	
	Thesis Co-Advisor	
		Date
	Dr. Maulahikmah Galinium, S.Kom, M.Sc.	
	Dean	Date

ABSTRACT

FEASIBILITY STUDY ON E-LEARNING PLATFORM: A CASE STUDY IN TRAINING PROGRAM PT. ARTIFA SUKSES PERSADA

By

Sabrina Safira Dr. Tanika D. Sofianti, S.T., M.T. Ir. Setijo Awibowo, M.M.

SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY

Feasibility is important to business development to identify potentials, determine whether the business is worth undertaking, and aware of any potential problems that could occur while implementing the business. PT. Artifa Sukses Persada (ASPERIO) is a technology and robotics company in Indonesia that provides educational solutions and currently expanding its business to education or training programs. By expanding the E-learning platform commercially, the company is required to develop a feasibility study. Feasibility study of e-learning to monetize in the market viewed by financial aspect using break-even analysis for PT. Artifa Sukses Persada (ASPERIO). The first step in the preparation of financial feasibility analysis is to identify the costs needed to start the project by developing the business model. Break-even analysis is divided into two pricing models which distribution methods of instructor profit. The result of feasibility is able to determine which pricing model obtains optimum profit and reaches a feasible break-even point unit.

Keywords: Feasibility Study, User Experience, Business Process Model, E-Learning, Break-even Analysis, Sensitivity Analysis.



DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to my amazing dad who is currently fighting to heal, my strong mother, my brother, my whole family, supportive friends, lectures, and for the future development of my country, Indonesia.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to give my gratitude to Jesus Christ for guiding me to become the person I am now who will never cease to be grateful for everything and for guiding me to this point of my life which will be levelled up to another stage. I would never be able to reach this point to finish my bachelor program with His blessings.

For my family who will be the number one supporter of everything I have done in my life. Everything I have worked on I dedicate to them, especially to my father who has been my inspiration.

I want to thank people in INFINITI GROUP, especially Mr. Hanif, for allowing me to do thesis and research in their project.

Lastly, I give my gratitude to all supporters and my classmates, especially Jessica Florentia who have been patiently supporting me and guiding me from the first semester. I am super blessed.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

STAT	TEMENT BY THE AUTHOR	2
ABS	ГКАСТ	3
DED	ICATION	5
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENTS	6
LIST	OF TABLES	11
CHA	PTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	13
1.1.	Background	13
1.2.	Research Problem	
1.3.	Research Objectives	
1.4.	Scope and Limitation	
1.5.	Thesis Structure	
CHA	PTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1.	E-learning	
2.2.	Feasibility Study	18
2.3.	User Experience	
2.3.1.	Usability	19
2.3.2.	Instructional Design	20
2.4.	Use case Diagram	23
2.5.	Business Process Model	23
2.6.	Break-even Point	25
2.7.	Payback Period	26
2.8.	Sensitivity Analysis	27
CHA	PTER 3 – RESEARCH METHODS	28
3.1.	Business Process Development	29
3.2.	Problem Identification.	29
3.3.	Literature Review	29
3.4.	Business Process Development	29
3.5.	Cost Structure	30
3.6.	Financial Feasibility Development	30
3.7.	Proposed scheme	30
3.8.	Analysis	30

3.9. Conclusion and Recommendations	30
CHAPTER 4 – BUSINESS PROCESS DEVELOPMENT	31
4.1. User Experience Framework	32
4.1.1. Instructional Design	33
4.1.2. Usability and Functional	35
4.2. Stakeholder's Role	37
4.3. Use Case Diagram	37
4.3.1. Front-end	38
4.3.2. Back-end	40
4.4. Business Process Model	42
CHAPTER 5 – FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY DEVELOPMENT AND ANALYS	SIS.46
5.1. Cost Structure	46
5.1.1. Initial Development Cost	46
5.1.2. Fixed Cost	48
5.1.3. Variable cost	49
5.1.4. Pricing Model	49
5.2. Break-even Analysis	
5.2.1. Subscription model	
5.2.1.1. One Year Payback Period	52
5.2.1.2. Two Years Payback Period	53
5.2.2. Instructor Royalty Pool	53
5.2.2.1. Fixed Instructor Profit	
5.2.2.2. Enrollment-based Profit	
5.2.3. Individual Course model	57
5.2.3.1. Fixed-based Profit	58
5.2.3.2. Percentage-based Profit	59
5.3. Sensitivity Analysis	60
5.3.1. Adjusting BEP unit	60
5.3.2. Inflation in Fixed Cost	63
5.3.2.1. Fixed Cost Inflation to BEP Unit	64
5.3.2.2. Fixed Cost Inflation to Subscription Fee	66
5.4. Proposed Schemes	68
5.4.1. First Proposed Scheme	69
5.4.2. Second Proposed Scheme	74
5.4.3. Third Proposed Scheme	77

5.5. Fin	nancial Feasibility Evaluation	79
CHAPTE	ER 6 – CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	85
6.1. Co	nclusion	85
6.2. Re	commendation	86
GLOSSA	ARY	87
REFERE	NCES	88
CURRIC	ULUM VITAE	92



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Illustration of Business Process Model (Source: methodandstyle.com 2016)	
Figure 2.2 Core set of BPMN elements (Source: Ayodeji Adesina, Derek Mollo 2011)	-
Figure 2.3 Break-even point illustration (Source: Janoskova K. MOP analysi, 20)11)26
Figure 2.4 Break Even Unit Formula (Source: planprojections.com, 2020)	26
Figure 3.1 Flowchart of Research Methodology	28
Figure 4.1 Flowchart of Business Process Development	31
Figure 4.2 Framework of User Experience Parameters	32
Figure 4.3 Front-end Use case Diagram	39
Figure 4.4 Back-end Use case Diagram	41
Figure 4.5 Business Process Model of E-learning	43
Figure 5.1 Break-even Analysis Scheme Chart	51
Figure 5.2 Sensitivity Analysis Graph	65
Figure 5.3 Sensitivity Analysis Graph	
Figure 5.4 Sensitivity Analysis Graph	
Figure 5.5 Sensitivity Analysis Graph	68

SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1 Table of Instructional Design Parameters and Criteria	34
Table 4.2 Usability and Functional Parameters and Criteria	36
Table 4.3 Stakeholder's Role	37
Table 5.1 Table of Initial Cost Development of E-learning	47
Table 5.2 Table of Fixed Cost of E-learning	48
Table 5.3 Table of Variable Cost of E-learning	49
Table 5.4 Comparison Table of E-learning Pricing Model	50
Table 5.5 Result of Break-even Analysis in Subscription Model with One Year Payback Period	52
Table 5.6 Break-even Analysis in Subscription Model with Two Years Payback Period	53
Table 5.7 Result of Break-even Analysis in Individual Course Model with Fixed based Profit	
Table 5.8 Result of Break-even Analysis in Individual Course Model with Percebased Profit	_
Table 5.9 Sensitivity Analysis Result of adjusting BEP unit in Subscription Modwith One Year Payback Period	
Table 5.10 Sensitivity Analysis Result of adjusting BEP unit in Subscription Mowith Two Years Payback Period	
Table 5.11 Sensitivity Analysis Result of adjusting BEP unit in Individual Cours Model with Percentage-based Profit	
Table 5.12 Sensitivity Analysis Result of adjusting BEP unit in Individual Cours Model with Fixed-based Profit	se
Table 5.13 One Year Fixed Cost After Inflation	64
Table 5.14 Sensitivity Analysis Result of Fixed Cost Inflation to BEP unit in Subscription Model	64
Table 5.15 Sensitivity Analysis Result of Fixed Cost Inflation to BEP unit in Individual Course Model	65
Table 5.16 Sensitivity Analysis Result of Fixed Cost Inflation to Subscription February Subscription Model	
Table 5.17 Sensitivity Analysis Result of Fixed Cost Inflation to Subscription Foundational Course Model	
Table 5.18 Proposed Fixed Cost of E-learning for the first proposed scheme	

Table 5.19 Result of Proposed Break-even Analysis in Subscription Model with Year Payback Period		
Table 5.20 Result of Proposed Break-even Analysis in Subscription Model with Years Payback Period		
Table 5.21 Result of Proposed Break-even Analysis in Individual Course Model Fixed-based Profit		
Table 5.22 Result of Proposed Break-even Analysis in Individual Course Model with Percentage-based Profit		
Table 5.23 Proposed fixed cost for the second proposed scheme	75	
Table 5.24 Result of Second Proposed Scheme in Subscription Model with One Payback Period		
Table 5.25 Result of Second Proposed Scheme in Subscription Model with One Payback Period		
Table 5.26 Proposed Fixed Cost of E-learning for the third proposed scheme	78	
Table 5.27 Result of The Third Proposed Scheme in Individual Course Model w		
Fixed-based Profit		
Table 5.28 Summary of Break-even Analysis	79	
Table 5.29 Summary of Sensitivity Analysis for Adjusting BEP unit		
Table 5.30 Sensitivity Analysis for Fixed Cost Inflation	83	

SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY