REFERENCES

Baker, T.L. and Risley, A.J., 1994. *Doing social research*. San Marcos: McGraw-Hill College.

Bollen, K.A. and Long, J.S., 1992. Tests for structural equation models: introduction. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 21(2), pp.123–131.

Budianto, I., 2016. *Study on social media users and its relation to the e-commerce activities on youth in Indonesia*. Bachelor Thesis. Swiss German University.

Cappel, J.J. and Hayen, R.L., 2004. Evaluating e-learning: A case study. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 44(4), pp.49–56.

Cramer, D., 1998. Fundamental statistics for social research. *Step-by-step calculations and computer techniques using SPSS for Windows. London and New York: Routledge*.

Daniel, F., 2015. *An analysis of students and teachers acceptance in using moodle using unified theory of acceptance and use of technology model in smpk2 penabur.* Master Thesis. Swiss German University.

Davis, F.D., Bagozzi, R.P. and Warshaw, P.R., 1989. User acceptance of computer technology: a comparison of two theoretical models. *Management science*, 35(8), pp.982–1003.

Explorable.com, 2009. *Research Population - The Focus Group of a Scientific Query*. [online] Available at: <https://explorable.com/research-population> [Accessed 13 Jun. 2017].

George, D. and Mallery, M., 2003. Using SPSS for Windows step by step: a simple guide and reference. *Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon*.

Gliem, J.A. and Gliem, R.R., 2003. Calculating, interpreting, and reporting Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient for Likert-type scales. Midwest Research-to-Practice Conference in Adult, Continuing, and Community Education.

idre, 2017. *What does Cronbach's alpha mean? | SPSS FAQ - IDRE Stats*. [online] Available at: <http://stats.idre.ucla.edu/spss/faq/what-does-cronbachs-alpha-mean/> [Accessed 3 May 2017].

India, C., 2017. *Learning Management System (LMS): Characteristics, Functions and Benefits*. [online] Available at: <https://www.commlabindia.com/resources/article/lms.php> [Accessed 29 May 2017].

Kazi, A.M. and Khalid, W., 2012. Questionnaire designing and validation. *Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association*, 62(5), p.514.

Klonoski, E., 2005. Cost-saving collaboration: Purchasing and deploying a statewide learning management system. *Innovate: Journal of Online Education*, 1(4), p.7.

life.illinois.edu, 2009. *PEARSON'S CORRELATION COEFFICIENT r (Critical Values)*. Available at: <http://www.life.illinois.edu/ib/203/Fall%2009/PEARSONS%20CORRELATION%20COEFFICIENT%20TABLE.pdf>.

ANALYSIS OF LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR STUDENTS
USING THE UNIFIED OF THEORY ACCEPTANCE AND USE OF TECHNOLOGY (UTAUT)
(CASE STUDY: SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY)

Page **75** of **94**

Liu, S.-H., Liao, H.-L. and Pratt, J.A., 2009. Impact of media richness and flow on e-learning technology acceptance. *Computers & Education*, 52(3), pp.599–607.

Mbokane, A., 2009. Research Design, Research Method and Population. [online] Available at: <uir.unisa.ac.za/bitstream/handle/10500/1313/04chapter3.pdf>.

Milne, J. and Brown, M., 2011. Synthesis of the literature on rich media learning: How does the Digital Recording of Rich Media Enhance the Student Learning Experience. *Massey University*.

Research, Z.M., 2017. *Global Learning Management System Market will reach USD 19.05 Billion by 2022: Zion Market Research*. [online] GlobeNewswire News Room. Available at: <http://globenewswire.com/news-release/2017/01/17/906276/0/en/Global-Learning-Management-System-Market-will-reach-USD-19-05-Billion-by-2022-Zion-Market-Research.html> [Accessed 5 Jun. 2017].

Sejzi, A.A. and Arisa, B., 2013. Learning Management System (LMS) and Learning Content Management System (LCMS) at Virtual University. In: *International Seminar on Quality and Affordable Education (ISQAE 2013)*.

Tansey, O., 2007. Process tracing and elite interviewing: a case for non-probability sampling. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 40(04), pp.765–772.

Tejada, J.J. and Punzalan, J.R.B., 2012. On the misuse of Slovin's formula. *The Philippine Statistician*, 61(1), pp.129–136.

Venkatesh, V. and Bala, H., 2008. Technology acceptance model 3 and a research agenda on interventions. *Decision sciences*, 39(2), pp.273–315.

Venkatesh, V. and Davis, F.D., 2000. A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management science*, 46(2), pp.186–204.

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M.G., Davis, G.B. and Davis, F.D., 2003. User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS quarterly*, pp.425–478.

Venkatesh, V. and Zhang, X., 2010. Unified theory of acceptance and use of technology: US vs. China. *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, 13(1), pp.5–27.

zeepedia, 2017. *PILOT TESTING OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE:Discovering errors in the instrument Research Methods Formal Sciences Statistics*. [online] Available at: <http://www.zeepedia.com/read.php?pilot_testing_of_the_questionnaire_discovering_errors_in_the_instrument_research_methods&b=71&c=24> [Accessed 8 Jun. 2017].