ISSN: 2477-1538



### PROCEEDINGS

THEME

ACHIEVING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS THROUGH INNOVATION, ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND TECHNOLOGY

October 30<sup>th</sup>-31<sup>st</sup> 2018 Indonesia Convention Exhibition (ICE), BSD City, Tangerang, Indonesia



### Proceedings

### **International Conference on Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Technology 2018**

The papers in the book consist of the proceedings of the conference mentioned above. The reflected authors' opinions and their inclusion in the publication do not necessary constitute endorsement by the conference committees.

#### **Copyright and Reprint Policy:**

Abstracting is permitted with credit to the source. Libraries may photocopy the articles for private use of patrons in this proceeding publication. Copying of individual articles for non-commercial purposes is permitted without fee, provided that credit to the source is given. For other copying, reprint, or republication permission from the publisher should be obtained.

Publisher: Swiss German University, Indonesia ISSN: 2477-1538 Additional copies may be ordered from: Swiss German University, Indonesia The Prominence Tower

Jalan Jalur Sutera Barat Kav 15, Alam Sutera, Kota Tangerang, Banten 15143

Indonesia



#### PREFACE FROM ICONIET 2018 ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

The International Conference on Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Technology (ICONIET) is an international conference held by Swiss German University (SGU), Indonesia, in collaboration with institutions, professional associations, industries and partner universities. ICONIET aims to provide an interdisciplinary platform for presentation of new and advanced research results to promote innovation, entrepreneurship, and technology. As a continuation of the 1<sup>st</sup> ICONIET which was successfully held in 2015, ICONIET 2018 is held at Indonesia Convention Exhibition (ICE), BSD City, Tangerang, Indonesia, from October 30<sup>th</sup>-31<sup>st</sup> 2018, with the theme "Achieving Sustainable Development Goals through Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Technology". ICONIET 2018 focuses on four main topics related to the Sustainable Development Goals: 1) Renewable Energy & Environment, 2) Food, Health and Herbal, 3) Smart Technology, and 4) Business, Economics & Social Sciences.

On behalf of the Organizing Committee of ICONIET 2018, I would like to gratefully acknowledge all honored speakers, presenters, participants and sponsors for their significant contributions in conducting this conference.

Best regards,

#### Dr. Dipl.-Ing. Samuel P. Kusumocahyo

Chairman of ICONIET 2018 Organizing Committe



#### PREFACE FROM ICONIET 2018 CHIEF OF EDITORIAL BOARD

Dear respected Authors, Presenters, and Participants,

I would like to thank and congratulate you on your contribution to the International Conference on Innovation, Entrepreneurship, and Technology (ICONIET) 2018. This year's ICONIET is the 2<sup>nd</sup> ICONIET that has been organized. The first one was held in the year of 2015. While the 2015 ICONIET had taken the theme related to ASEAN Economic Community, this year's ICONIET has taken the theme of "Achieving Sustainable Development Goals through Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Technology". This is in line with the recently formulated Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) or the 2030 Agenda, by the United Nations General Assembly in 2015, as a continuation of the previous Millenium Development Goals (MDG) which ended in 2015.

To reflect this year's theme on Sustainable Development Goals, we have accepted contributions in four independent yet interconnected tracks: Renewable Energy and Environment track, Food, Health and Herbal track, Smart Technology track, and Business, Economy and Social Science track. We have received more than 50 contributions in these four tracks. Each received abstracts has been reviewed and considered for its conformity with the theme. Later, we have invited authors or experts in related field, in a single-blind peer review method.

I understand that ICONIET may be different than other many common technical conferences that you usually attend. Instead of being very narrow and specific, at ICONIET you are given the chance to attend and listen to presentations from various other scientific disciplines, from presenters with various background in academics, government and business. It is our hope that you may get inspired by listening to people who may have seen the same problem from different points of view. We also hope that the book of abstracts and proceedings of this conference can be your starting point for future fruitful research and collaborations to tackle the increasingly challenging problems of sustainable development in the years to come.

Finally, I wish you an enjoyable reading of our conference proceedings.

Best regards,

**Dr. Dedy H. B. Wicaksono** ICONIET 2018 Chief of Editorial Board



#### **Editorial Board**

#### **Editor in Chief:**

Dedy Hermawan Bagus Wicaksono, Ph.D. Swiss German University, Indonesia

#### Members:

- 1. Dr.-Ing. Evita H. Legowo Swiss German University, Indonesia
- 2. Prof. Dr. Suminar S. Achmadi Institut Pertanian Bogor, Indonesia
- 3. Prof. Dr. Eric J. Nasution Adventitst of International Institute of Advanced Studies, Philipines
- 4. Prof. Theodore Benetatos International Management Institute, Switzerland
- 5. Prof. Andreas Schleicher EAH Jena, Germany
- 6. Prof. Matthias Schirmer EAH Jena, Germany
- 7. Prof. Juergen Grueneberg FHSW Soest, Germany
- 8. Prof. Rong-Fu Kuo National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan
- 9. Dr. rer. nat. Maruli Pandjaitan Swiss German University, Indonesia
- 10. Kholis Abdurachman Audah, M.Sc., Ph.D. Swiss German University, Indonesia
- 11. Dr. Muninggar Saraswati Swiss German University, Indonesia
- 12. Prof. Martin Boesch FHSW Soest, Germany
- 13. Prof. Hendrik Jansen FHSW Soest, Germany
- 14. Dr. Eka Budiarto, S.T., M.Sc. Swiss German University, Indonesia
- 15. Dr. Sabrina O. Sihombing, M.Bus. Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia
- 16. Dr. Pauline H. P. Tan, M.Si. Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia
- 17. Dr. Henri Uranus Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia
- 18. Dr. Reinhard Pinontoan Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia
- 19. Annuchita Moongngarm, Ph.D. Mahasarakham University, Thailand
- 20. Dr. Eisuke Kato Hokkaido University, Japan
- 21. Dr. Ir. Abdullah Muzi Marpaung, M.P. Swiss German University, Indonesia
- 22. Dr. Irvan S. Kartawiria, S.T., M.Sc. Swiss German University, Indonesia
- 23. Dr. Maulahikmah Galinium, S.Kom., M.Sc. Swiss German University, Indonesia
- 24. Dr. Tanika D. Sofianti, S.T., M.T. Swiss German University, Indonesia
- 25. Dr. Nila Krisnawati Hidayat, S.E., M.M. Swiss German University, Indonesia
- 26. Dr. phil. Matthias Guenther, M.Sc. Swiss German University, Indonesia
- 27. Dr.-Ing. Diah Indriani Widiputri, S.T., M.Sc. Swiss German University, Indonesia



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface from ICONIET 2018 Organizing Committee	iii
Preface from ICONIET 2018 Chief of Editorial Board	iv
Editorial Board	v
Table of Contents	vi

#### **RENEWABLE ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

REE-01	Improvement of Combustion Quality of Biomass Briquette from Water Hyacinth ( <i>Eichhornia crassipes</i> ) for Alternative Energy	1
	Andre Nugraha Pramadhana, Diah Indriani Widiputri, Gustan Pari	
REE-02	Municipal Solid Waste Treatment Using Plasma Gasification with the Potential Production of Synthesis Gas (Syngas)	8
	Angela Hartati, Diah Widiputri, Arbi Dimyati	
REE-03	Preparation of Palm Fatty Acid Distillate (PFAD) As Raw Material for Bio Aviation Fuel Production	13
	Barry Wiethoff, Evita H Legowo, Diah Indriani Widiputri	
<b>REE-04</b>	Net Energy Analysis of Molasses Based Bioethanol Production in Indonesia	10
	Carrin Aprinada, Irvan S Kartawiria, Evita H Legowo	19
REE-05	Development of Lower Cost Monolithic Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell with Carbon Counter-Electrode	25
	Daniel Agaphela, Matthias Günther, Samuel Kusumocahyo, Natalita M Nursam	
REE-07	Are High Renewable Energy Shares In Large Power Grids In Indonesia Too Expensive?	30
	Matthias Günther	
REE-08	Function Of A Sewage Treatment Plant In Rodgau, Germany	43
DEE 10	Kobin Bialdiga	
REE-10	(PET) Bottle Waste	47
	Sylvia Kusumadewi, Samuel Kusumocahyo	
REE-11	Potential of Palm Oil Empty Fruit Bunch as Biogas Substrate	53
	Vincentius, Evita H Legowo, Irvan S Kartawiria	55
REE-12	Biomass Pellets from Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunches (OP-EFB)	50
	Rahmat Farhan Aditya, Evita H Legowo, Saptadi Darmawan	59

#### FOOD, HEALTH AND HERBAL

FHH-02	Application of Lemongrass Essential Oil as a Natural Preservative Agent for	
	Pineapple Juice	63
	Amanda Celina, Della Rahmawati, Tabligh Permana	
FHH-03	Antioxidant and Anti-Melanogenic Activities of Pakoba Leaves (Syzygium sp)	
	from North Celebes	73
	Dela Rosa, Catherine Roeroe, Agustina Susanti	
FHH-04	Application Of Butterfly Pea Leaves Extract On Diabetic Patient	
	Maruli Pandjatian, Intan Larasati	78

### Factors that Influence the Width of Bid Ask Spread: Study in Kompas 100 Index in Indonesia Stock Exchange

Marbun, Natalie<sup>1</sup>, Siahaan, Antonius<sup>2\*</sup>, Bustaman, Yosman<sup>3</sup>, <sup>1</sup>Swiss German University, Indonesia <sup>2</sup>Swiss German University, Indonesia <sup>3</sup>Swiss German University, Indonesia \*antonius.siahaan@sgu.ac.id



# **Capital Markets**



Surplus money to invest Money channeled through capital markets



**Economic Function** 

**Financial Function** 

Year	Number of Shares (in Billion)	Total Companies Listed
2012	1053.76	462
2013	1342.6	483
2014	1327.02	506
2015	1446.31	521
2016	1925.42	537

Source :www.ojk.go.id, 2016

#### Figure 1.1 Development Volume of Stock Transactions Carried Out and The

Number of Companies Selling Shares to Investors





- 1. The Cost of Holding Inventory
  - 2. Order Processing Cost
  - 3. Adverse Information Cost

Spread<sub>it</sub> = 
$$\left[ \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{ASK_t - BID_t}{(ASK_t + BID_t)/2} \right] / N$$

Description:

Spreadit : Average bid-ask spread of company stock i during T

N : Number of stock transactions of company i during T

Askit : Average ask causing investor to agree to sell company stock i in period T

Bid<sub>it</sub> : Average bid causing investor agrees to buy the stock of company i in period T



 $BIDASK_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 EPS + \beta_2 LEVERAGE + \beta_3 TVA + \beta_4 RETURNVAR + e$ 

# **Research Question**

- Is there any influence of earning per share toward bid ask spread?
- Is there any influence of leverage toward bid ask spread?
- Is there any influence of trading volume activities toward bid ask spread?
- Is there any influence of stock return variance toward bid ask spread?
- Does the combination of earning per shares, leverage, trading volume activities and stock return variance have influence toward bid ask spread?

### **Earning Per Share**

EPS is a measure of the net income earned on each sheet of common stock.





**Hypothesis 1** Earning per share has negative influence toward bid ask spread.

### Leverage

Leverage is the proportion of debt usage made by company for investment financing.



**Hypothesis 2** Leverage has positive influence toward bid ask spread.

# **Trading Volume Activities**

Trading Volume Activities used to see the capital market reaction against existing information through motion parameters of activity trading volume in stock market.

 $TVA_{i,t} = \frac{Number \ of \ shares \ of \ firm \ i \ trading \ in \ time \ t}{Number \ of \ shares \ of \ firm \ i \ outstanding \ in \ time \ t}$ 



**Hypothesis 3** Trading Volume Activities has negative influence toward bid ask spread.

### **Stock Return Variance**

Stock Return Variance showed a return variability around the normal stock returns due to their volatility.

Varian return = 
$$\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [X_i - \overline{X}]^2}{N-1}}$$

Description: Varian Return : Variance of stock i X<sub>I</sub> : Return of stock i X : Average stock return i N :Number of observations



**Hypothesis 4** Stock Return Variance has positive influence toward bid ask spread.

### Limitations

- The variables that are researched are limited to the earning per share, leverage, trading volume activities and stock return variance toward bid ask spread. Therefore other various variables that may influence bid ask spread is not researched.
- This study uses data of companies belonging to the **company listed in Kompas 100 index** in the Indonesia Stock Exchange for **period 2015-2016**.

### KOMPAS 100 INDEX

Kompas 100 Index is a stock index of 100 shares of publicly listed companies traded on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. It was officially issued by the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) in cooperation with Kompas newspaper on Friday, August 10, 2007. The shares selected to be included in Kompas 100 Index are in addition to having high liquidity, as well as a large market capitalization value, stocks that have fundamental and good performance. Kompas 100 index in IDX is updated every six months. The period of the list of shares entered into Kompas 100 index' calculation is from February to July and August to January.

# Type of Data

In this study, the secondary data was collected uses data of companies belonging to the company listed in the Kompas 100 index in the Indonesia Stock Exchange for period 2015-2016.

- 1. Financial Statement from Indonesian Capital Market Electronic Library and the official website of the Indonesia Stock Exchange, which is <u>www.idx.co.id</u>.
- 2. Stock price, trading volume activities are obtained from <u>www.yahoofinance.com</u>
- 3. bid offer data obtained from <u>www.icamel.id</u>.
- 4. Various literature and documents such as books, online new articles and journals that are relevant to the objective of this study that aims to enrich the information in this study.

# Data Collection

Criteria used in this research are:

- Companies included in the Kompas 100 Index consecutively in the period 2015-2016.
- Have a complete daily stock price data, bid and offer price.
- Issuing financial statements in Rupiah currency that have been audited by independent auditors in the period 2015-2016.

# Data Analysis

### Descriptive statistic

### Regression Analysis with Panel Data

- Common Effect Model
- Fixed Effect Model
- Random Effect Model

### Selection of Panel Data Regression Model

- Chow Test
- Hausman Test
- Lagrange Multiplier Test
- Coefficient of Determination Test
- Simultaneous Significance Test (Test Statistic F)
- Individual Parameter Significance Test (Test Statistic t)

# **Research Object**

Criteria	Number of Company
Companies included in the Kompas 100 Index.	100 Companies
Companies included in the Kompas 100 Index consecutively in the period 2015-2016.	74 Companies
Have a complete daily bid and offer price.	55 Companies
Issuing financial statements in Rupiah currency that have	54 Companies
been audited by independent auditors in the period 2015- 2016	
Communicated and a second for this second.	54 Commenting
Companies taken as sample for this research	54 Companies

### **Descriptive statistics**

	BIDASK	EPS	LEVERAGE	TVA	RETURNVAR
Mean	0.0000220	310.3634000	2.1447460	0.0017790	0.0011930
Madian	0.0000005	102 1488000	1 0227240	0.0011950	0.0010060
Median	0.0000205	102.1488000	1.0227240	0.0011850	0.0010900
Maximum	0.0000535	3,470.2590000	13.5432300	0.0117460	0.0099710
Minimum	0.0000085	(241.0778000)	0.0761250	0.0000888	0.0004510
Std. Dev.	0.0000082	540.1363000	2.7428030	0.0017970	0.0008840
Observations	108	108	108	108	108

Dependent Variable: BIDASK Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects) Date: 02/10/18 Time: 11:09 Sample: 2015 2016 Periods included: 2 Cross-sections included: 54 Total panel (balanced) observations: 108 Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances

	Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	
	EPS	-6.56E-09	1.64E-09	-3.999422	0.0001	**
	LEVERAGE	4.15E-08	3.36E-07	0.123393	0.9020	
	TVA	-0.000740	0.000383	-1.931492	0.0562	***
	RETURNVAR	0.000150	0.000523	0.286835	0.7748	
	C	2.51E-05	1.63E-06	15.42084	0.0000	
*		0/				1

\*\* Significance level 5%

\*\*\* Significance level 10%

- 1. Hypothesis 1 accepted, earning per share has negative influence toward bid ask spread.
- 2. Hypothesis 2 rejected, leverage has no influence toward bid ask spread.
- 3. Hypothesis 3 accepted, trading volume activities has negative influence toward bid ask spread.
- 4. Hypothesis 4 rejected, stock return variance has no influence toward bid ask spread.

Weighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.153956	Mean dependent var	8.03E-06	
Adjusted R-squared	0.121100	S.D. dependent var	3.77E-06	
S.E. of regression	3.54E-06	Sum squared resid	1.29E-09	
F-statistic	4,685787	Durbin-Watson stat	1.959198	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.001627			

Hypothesis 5 accepted, earning per share, leverage, trading volume activities and stock return variance is found to simultaneously have influence toward bid ask spread.

# Recommendation

- Use all companies listed in Indonesia stock exchange.
- Add another variable that can influence the width of bid ask spread, like stock price, dividend and number of stock trading day.





#### FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THE WIDTH OF BID ASK SPREAD : STUDY

#### IN KOMPAS 100 INDEX IN INDONESIA STOCK EXCHANGE

Marbun, Natalie<sup>1</sup>, Siahaan, Antonius<sup>2\*</sup>, Bustaman, Yosman<sup>3</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Swiss German University, Indonesia <sup>2</sup>Swiss German University, Indonesia <sup>3</sup>Swiss German University, Indonesia

\*antonius.siahaan@sgu.ac.id

#### ABSTRACT

This research is conducted to provide evidence on the influence of earning per share, leverage, trading volume activities and stock return variance toward bid ask spread. The object researched is company that listed in Kompas 100 index in Indonesia Stock Exchange. In total, there were 54 company that fulfill the requirements set by the researcher based on purposive sampling method. Based on the findings of the panel data analysis during the period 2015-2016, earning per share and trading volume activities exhibits statistically negative influence toward bid ask spread. Leverage and stock return variance exhibit has no influence toward bid ask spread.

Keywords : Bid Ask Spread, Earning Per Share, Leverage, Trading Volume Activities, Stock Return Variance

#### 1. Background

The capital market is a meeting place between those who have surplus funds with those who are in need of funds by way of trade in securities, it is financial markets for the buying and selling of long-term debt or equity securities. Capital market has a big role for the country's economy as the capital markets play two roles at the same time, they are economic function and financial functions. Economic function as facilitates those who have excess funds (investors) and those who need the funds (issuer) and the capital market is said to have a financial function, as it gives the possibility and the opportunity to earn returns for the owners of the funds, according to the characteristics of the selected investments. There are many variations of investments available with the hope of making a profit in the future. One of them is common stock. Common Stock is one of securities that much offered by the company and attract investors. This is reflected in the development volume of stock transactions carried out and the number of companies selling shares to investors, total 537 companies until 2016 had registered.

Year	Number of Shares (in Billion)	Total Companies Listed
2012	1053.76	462
2013	1342.6	483
2014	1327.02	506
2015	1446.31	521
2016	1925.42	537

#### Source :www.ojk.go.id, 2016

Investors have the freedom in choosing the type of stocks of the company that go public, buying the number of stock and the holding of stocks. However, investors have consideration in accurately valuing stocks in order to obtain maximum returns and minimize risks to their investment activities. In addition to these two main criteria, another characteristic to be observed by investors is liquidity. Without liquidity, the capital market becomes unattractive and loses its role as a means of investment as well as a source of financing. According to Ross (2011), liquidity is the speed and ease of an asset converted into cash. Amihud and Mendelson (1986) use bid ask spreads in measuring liquidity. Bid price



(purchase price) is the highest purchase price leading investors willing to buy a stock. Ask price (the sale price) is the lowest selling price which cause investors are willing to sell a stock. The difference between the selling price and the purchase price is called the bid-ask spread. Bollen, Smith and Whaley (2004) stated dealer determine the spread sufficient to cover the cost of holding inventory, order processing cost and adverse information cost. Knowledge about bid ask spread is very necessary for investors because this is seen as one of the cost components in stock trading, but generally investors pay less attention to the behavior bid ask spread, whereas the change in bid ask spread of stock gives a lot of information for investor about return, also the stock risk. Investors can take the decision to buy stocks or not, by looking at the spreads.

Related to an optimal bid ask spread, then this research will examined factors that are predicted may influence bid ask spread, they are earning per share, leverage, trading volume activities and stock return variance. Investors expect earnings per share from companies that issuing the stock. Weygandt et al. (2013) stated earnings per share (EPS) is a measure of the net income earned on each sheet of common stock. Calculated by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding during the year. High earning indicates that the shares of the company has good prospects, this encourages investor to make bigger investment so these shares are actively traded. If a stock is actively traded, then the dealer will not keep these shares for long time. This will result in reduced cost of ownership of shares, processing fees orders and cost information which in turn decreases the level of bid ask spread. In Sunarko's research (2016) stated earnings per share have a significant negative effect on bid ask spread, but unlike research conducted by Fitriyah (2012) stated earnings per share does not affect bid ask spread.

Leverage is the proportion of debt usage made by company for investment financing. If a company does not use leverage at all it can be said that the company uses 100% of its own capital. Corporate financial decisions is taken by management based on company's financial leverage. Increasing of financial leverage can give positive and negative influence on investors depending on economic conditions (Odit and Chittoo, 2008). The higher of debt ratio will create higher of the level of risk, so investors tend to avoid to buy company share which has higher debt ratio. The condition causes the dealer have to hold the shares for long time. It can make the cost of share ownership will be higher, so that bid-ask spread also will be higher. In the research of Nabiev (2014), the result shows that leverage has a positive influence toward the bid-ask spread, but unlike Royani's (2017) study, the leverage does not affect the bid ask spread.

Trading volume activities used to see the capital market reaction against existing information through motion parameters of activity trading volume in the stock market. Chan et al (2001) stated the volume of trade is defined as the number of shares traded on the day certain trades. A large trading volume indicates that stock is actively traded, which indicates the shares favored by investors and the stock quickly traded. A high stock trading volume can lower the cost of ownership of shares that resulting in lower spreads. It also led to lower costs processing and information costs incurred by the dealer so the dealer do not have the huge costs that make the dealer can sell their shares cheaper. Thus the more active trading of a share or more large trading volume of a stock, the lower the cost of stock which means that the bid ask spread will be smaller. The results of Shobriati et al (2013), Paramitha and Yulianto (2014) showed that trading volume activities partially significantly influence bid ask spread.

Sahalia and Yu (2009) stated stock return variance representing risks faced by the dealer on the ownership of a stock. Stock return variance showed a return variability around the normal stock returns due to their volatility (fluctuations in stock prices). The higher stock return variance daily shows the variation of the stock returns received by investors. This reflects high market uncertainty. High stock return variance means the risk that faced is also high. A high stock risk is making dealers hold these shares until a certain time so that the cost of ownership increased causing the spread wider. Longer stock ownership affect the cost of ownership, processing fees and cost information. This causes the dealer to offer shares possession with higher prices, thus making the shifting ask prices and widen the spread. So higher stock return variance can led to the widening of spreads. The results of Santoso and Linawati (2014), Fitriyah (2012) showed that stock return variant significantly influences bid ask spread.



This research object are shares in the Kompas 100 Index. Kompas 100 Index is a stock index of 100 shares of publicly listed companies traded on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. It was officially issued by the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) in cooperation with Kompas newspaper on Friday, August 10, 2007. The shares selected to be included in Kompas 100 Index are in addition to having high liquidity, as well as a large market capitalization value, stocks that have fundamental and good performance. Kompas 100 index in IDX is updated every six months.

#### 2. Research Model

Causal study is a study in which the researcher wants to delineate the cause of one or more problems. Below is the figure for this research:





From the figure above, it can be seen that regression model of this research is:

BIDASK<sub>it</sub> =  $\alpha + \beta_1 EPS + \beta_2 LEVERAGE + \beta_3 TVA + \beta_4 RETURNVAR + e$ 

Description :	
BIDASKit	= Bid Ask Spread
α	= Constants
$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5$	= Coefficient
EPS	= Earning per Share
LEVERAGE	= Leverage
TVA	= Trading Volume Activities
RETURNVAR	= Stock Return Variance
e	= Standard Error

#### 3. Research Variable

4.1 Dependent Variable

The dependent variable in this study is bid ask spread, measured using a ratio scale. The concept of bid ask spread is to make the stock average observed during the observation period. The formula used is as follows (Atkins and Dyl, 1997):

Spread<sub>it =</sub> 
$$\left[ \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{ASK_t - BID_t}{(ASK_t + BID_t)/2} \right] / N$$

Description:

Spread<sub>it</sub> : Average bid-ask spread of company stock i during T

N : Number of stock transactions of company i during T

Ask<sub>it</sub> : Average ask causing investor to agree to sell company stock i in period T



Bid<sub>it</sub> : Average bid causing investor agrees to buy the stock of company i in period T

#### 4.2 Independent Variable

Independent variable is one that influences the dependent variable in either a positive or negative way.

4.2.1 Earning Per Share

The formula used is as follows (Weygandt, Kimmel, Kieso, 2013):

EPS = *Net income – preferred dividends Average Number of common share Outstanding* 

#### 4.2.2 Leverage

Leverage ratio measurement is proxyed with Debt to Equity Ratio (DER). The formula used to calculate the DER (Subramanyam and Wild, 2014):

Debt to Equity Ratio = 
$$\frac{Total \ Debt}{Total \ Equity}$$

#### 4.2.3 Trading Volume Activities

Calculation of Trading Volume Activities in this study in accordance with Zulhawati (2000):

$$TVA_{i,t} = \frac{Number \ of \ shares \ of \ firm \ i \ trading \ in \ time \ t}{Number \ of \ shares \ of \ firm \ i \ outstanding \ in \ time \ t}$$

#### 4.2.4 Stock Return Variance

The formula used is as follows (Jones, 2013):

Varian return = 
$$\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [X_i - \overline{X}]^2}{N-1}}$$

Description:

- Varian Return : Variance of stock i
- X<sub>I</sub> : Return of stock i
- X : Average stock return i
- N :Number of observations

#### 4. Hypothesis

Sekaran and Bougie (2013) stated that hypothesis can be defined as a tentative yet testable,

statement, which predicts what to expect to find in empirical data. Research hypothesis were

formed based on research purpose. Therefore the research hypothesis are as follows:

#### Hypothesis 1

Ho : Earning per share has no influence toward bid ask spread.



 $H_1$  : Earning per share has negative influence toward bid ask spread.

#### Hypothesis 2

H<sub>0</sub> : Leverage has no influence toward bid ask spread.

 $H_1$ : Leverage has positive influence toward bid ask spread.

#### Hypothesis 3

Ho : Trading volume activities has no influence toward bid ask spread.

H<sub>1</sub> : Trading volume activities has negative influence toward bid ask

spread.

#### Hypothesis 4

Ho : Stock return variance has no influence toward bid ask spread.

H<sub>1</sub> : Stock return variance has positive influence toward bid ask spread.

#### **Hypothesis 5**

 $H_0$  : Earning per share, leverage, trading volume activities and stock return variance have no influence toward bid ask spread.

H<sub>1</sub> : Earning per share, leverage, trading volume activities and stock return variance have significant influence toward bid ask spread.

#### 5. Data Analysis

All data are collected and processed with Microsoft Excel 2010 and Eviews 10 for Windows. This study uses types of data analysis, which are:

#### **5.1 Descriptive statistics**

Descriptive statistics give descriptions or descriptions of data viewed from mean, standard deviation, maximum, minimum and range (Ghozali, 2012).

#### 5.2 Regression Analysis with Panel Data

- In this research, the method used is panel data regression analysis model. Panel data is a combination of time series and cross section. Time series data is data collected from time to time against an individual. While cross section data is data collected in one time against many individuals. The three approach models in panel data analysis can be explained as follows (Widarjono, 2013):
- 1. Common Effect Model
- 2. Fixed Effect Model
- 3. Random Effect Model

#### 5.3 Selection of Panel Data Regression Model

1. Chow Test

If the test result of this specification shows chi-square probability more than 0.05 then the selected model is common effect. Conversely, if the probability of Chi-square is less than 0.05



then the model that should be used is fixed effect. When the model selected is a fixed effect it is necessary to test again, namely Hausman test to determine whether it should use fixed effect model (FEM) or random effect model (REM).

2. Hausman Test

If hypothesis 0 is rejected then the conclusion should be used FEM. Because REM is likely to be correlated with one or more independent variables. Conversely, if Ha is rejected, then the model that should be used is REM.

3. Lagrange Multiplier Test

To find out whether the Random Effect model is better than the Common Effect (OLS) method, the Lagrange Multiplier (LM) test is used. This Random Effect significance test was developed by Breusch Pagan. The Pagan Bruesch method for testing the significance of Random Effects is based on the residual value of the Common Effect method.

#### 5.4 Coefficient of Determination Test

According to Ghozali (2012), this test aims to measure how far the ability of the model in explaining the variation of the dependent variable. The coefficient of determination is between zero and one. The small value of  $R^2$  means the ability of the independent variables to explain the variation of the dependent variable is very limited.

#### 8.5 Simultaneous Significance Test (Test Statistic F)

The F statistic test measures the goodness of fit that is the accuracy of the sample regression function in estimating the actual value. If the significance value of F (p-value) <0.05, then the regression model can be used to predict the dependent variable.

#### 8.6 Individual Parameter Significance Test (Test Statistic t)

The statistical test t basically indicates how far the influence of one individual explanatory / independent variable in explaining the variation of the dependent variable. Test t has significance value  $\alpha = 5\%$ . The criterion of hypothesis testing by using statistical test t is if the significance value t (p-value) <0.05, then the alternative hypothesis accepted, which states that an independent variable individually and significantly affect the dependent variable (Ghozali, 2012).

#### 6. Research Object

The object of this research is taken using purposive sampling that is based on a criteria that has been defined in Kompas100 index that is listed in the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the period 2015-2016. The details for the sample that is taken for this research is:



Criteria	Number of Company
Companies included in the Kompas 100 Index.	100 Companies
Companies included in the Kompas 100 Index	74 Companies
consecutively in the period 2015-2016.	
Have a complete daily bid and offer price.	55 Companies
Issuing financial statements in Rupiah currency that have	54 Companies
been audited by independent auditors in the period 2015-	
2016.	
Companies taken as sample for this research	54 Companies

#### 10. Data Analysis

#### **10.1 Descriptive statistics**

Descriptive statistics give descriptions or descriptions of data viewed from mean, standard deviation, maximum, minimum and range (Ghozali, 2012).

	BIDASK	EPS	LEVERAGE	TVA	RETURNVAR
Mean	0.0000220	310.3634000	2.1447460	0.0017790	0.0011930
Median	0.0000205	102.1488000	1.0227240	0.0011850	0.0010960
Maximum	0.0000535	3,470.2590000	13.5432300	0.0117460	0.0099710
Minimum	0.0000085	(241.0778000)	0.0761250	0.0000888	0.0004510
Std. Dev.	0.0000082	540.1363000	2.7428030	0.0017970	0.0008840
Observations	108	108	108	108	108

#### **10.2 Regression Analysis with Panel Data**

1. Estimation Results with Common Effect Model

Dependent Variable: BIDASK Method: Panel Least Squares Date: 02/10/18 Time: 10:58 Sample: 2015 2016 Periods included: 2 Cross-sections included: 54 Total panel (balanced) observations: 108

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
EPS LEVERAGE TVA RETURNVAR C	-7.01E-09 3.11E-09 -0.001065 -0.000734 2.70E-05	1.31E-09 2.56E-07 0.000394 0.000797 1.56E-06	-5.351776 0.012158 -2.705743 -0.920803 17.28069	0.0000 0.9903 0.0080 0.3593 0.0000
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression Sum squared resid Log likelihood F-statistic Prob(F-statistic)	0.244954 0.215632 7.24E-06 5.39E-09 1127.664 8.353884 0.000007	Mean depend S.D. depende Akaike info cri Schwarz crite Hannan-Quin Durbin-Watso	lent var ent var iterion rion n criter. on stat	2.20E-05 8.17E-06 -20.79007 -20.66590 -20.73972 0.519010

2. Estimation Results with Fixed Effect Model

Dependent Variable: BID Method: Panel Least Squ Date: 02/10/18 Time: 11 Sample: 2015 2016 Periods included: 2 Cross-sections included Total panel (balanced) o	ASK Jares I:08 I: 54 bservations: 1	108			
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	
EPS LEVERAGE TVA RETURNVAR C	-4.56E-09 9.01E-07 -0.000349 0.000549 2.15E-05	4.53E-09 1.59E-06 0.000521 0.000590 4.34E-06	-1.005958 0.564876 -0.669411 0.930531 4.948029	0.3193 0.5747 0.5063 0.3566 0.0000	
Effects Specification					
Cross-section fixed (dun	nmy variables	)			
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression Sum squared resid Log likelihood F-statistic Prob(F-statistic)	0.912169 0.812041 3.54E-06 6.27E-10 1243.837 9.110064 0.000000	Mean depend S.D. depende Akaike info cri Schwarz critel Hannan-Quin Durbin-Watsc	lent var ent var iterion rion n criter. on stat	2.20E-05 8.17E-06 -21.95995 -20.51955 -21.37592 3.927273	

3. Estimation Results with Random Effect Model

**IC NIET** 2018

Proceedings of the International Conference on Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Technology, BSD City, Indonesia, 30-31 October 2018 ISSN: \*\*\*-\*\*\*\*

Dependent Variable: BIDASK Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects) Date: 02/10/18 Time: 11:09 Sample: 2015 2016 Periods included: 2 Cross-sections included: 54 Total panel (balanced) observations: 108 Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	
EPS LEVERAGE TVA RETURNVAR C	-6.56E-09 4.15E-08 -0.000740 0.000150 2.51E-05	1.64E-09 3.36E-07 0.000383 0.000523 1.63E-06	-3.999422 0.123393 -1.931492 0.286835 15.42084	0.0001 0.9020 0.0562 0.7748 0.0000	
	Effects Spe	ecification		Dha	
			S.D.	Rho	
Cross-section random Idiosyncratic random			6.40E-06 3.54E-06	0.7654 0.2346	
Weighted Statistics					
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression F-statistic Prob(F-statistic)	0.153956 0.121100 3.54E-06 4.685787 0.001627	Mean dependent var S.D. dependent var Sum squared resid Durbin-Watson stat		8.03E-06 3.77E-06 1.29E-09 1.959198	
Unweighted Statistics					
R-squared Sum squared resid	0.229698 5.50E-09	Mean depend Durbin-Watso	lent var on stat	2.20E-05 0.458918	

#### **10.3 Selection of Panel Data Regression Model**

1. Chow Test

Redundant Fixed Effects Tests Equation: Untitled Test cross-section fixed effects

Effects Test	Statistic	d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section F	7.166562	(53,50)	0.0000
Cross-section Chi-squar	re 232.347050	53	0.0000

It shows that the F test is significant (p-value) 0.0000 so it is smaller than 0.05 so Ho is rejected

(H1 accepted). Then the Fixed Effect model is better than the PLS / Common Effect model.

2. Hausman Test

Correlated Random Effects - Ha Equation: Untitled Test cross-section random effect	usman Test ts		
Test Summary	Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section random	3.716079	4	0.4458

This Hausman test follows the Chi Square distribution with Degree of Freedom by (k), where (k) is the number of independent variables. It shows that the F test is significant (p-value) 0.4458 so it is bigger than 0.05 so Ho is accepted (H<sub>1</sub> rejected). Then the Random Effect model is better than Fixed Effect model.

3. Lagrange Multiplier Test

Lagrange multiplier (LM) test for panel data Date: 02/07/18 Time: 00:02 Sample: 2015 2016 Total panel observations: 108 Probability in () Null (no rand. effect) Cross-section Period Alternative One-side One-side

Null (no rand. effect) Alternative	Cross-section One-sided	Period One-sided	Both
Breusch-Pagan	27.87404	50.04005	77.91409
	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)
Honda	5.279587	7.073899	8.735234
	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)
King-Wu	5.279587	7.073899	7.726555
	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)
GHM			77.91409
			(0.0000)

The value of P Value is shown by the number below which is 0.0000 where the value is less than 0.05. So the Lagrange Multiplier Test indicates that receiving H<sub>1</sub> means the best estimation method is Random Effect.

		СОММО		RANDOM (SELECTE
Va	riable	N	FIXED	D)
	Coefficien		-4.56E-0	
EPS	t	-7.01E-09	9	-6.56E-09
	Prob	0.0000	0.3193	0.0001**
	Coefficien			
LEVERAGE	t	3.11E-09	9.01E-07	4.15E-08
	Prob	0.9903	0.5747	0.9020
	Coefficien		-0.00034	
TVA	t	-0.001065	9	-0.00074
	Prob	0.0080	0.5063	0.0562***
	Coefficien			
KEIUKNVA D	t	-0.0007	0.000549	0.00015
ĸ	Prob	0.3593	0.3566	0.7748

\*\* Significance level 5%

\*\*\* Significance level 10%

Summary of Test Results

The regression model of this research is:

 $BIDASK_{it} = -6.56E - 09EPS + 4.15E - 08LEVERAGE - 0.00074TVA + 0.00015RETURNVAR$ 

#### **10.4 Coefficient of Determination Test**

Weighted Statistics				
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression F-statistic Prob(F-statistic)	0.153956 0.121100 3.54E-06 4.685787 0.001627	Mean dependent var S.D. dependent var Sum squared resid Durbin-Watson stat	8.03E-06 3.77E-06 1.29E-09 1.959198	



Based on the results of testing with the Random Effect Model, the value of adjusted R<sup>2</sup> is 0.121100. This means that only 12.11% of the Bid Ask Spread variations can be explained by the variation of the four independent variables: earning per share, leverage, trading volume activities and stock return variance. While the rest of 87.89% is explained by other variables not described in this study that allegedly significant and can affect the Bid Ask Spread.

#### 10.5 Simultaneous Significance Test (Test Statistic F)

Based on the calculation of F-test on Random Effect model estimation results obtained the F-hit result is 4.685787 with a significance value (prob.) Of 0.001627. Due to the significance value <0.05, with 95% confidence level then  $H_0$  is rejected. It can be concluded that there is a significant simultaneous influence between earning per share, leverage, trading volume activities and stock return variance toward bid ask spread.

#### 10.6 Individual Parameter Significance Test (Test Statistic t)

Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects) Date: 02/07/18 Time: 00:16 Sample: 2015 2016 Periods included: 2 Cross-sections included: 54 Total panel (balanced) observations: 108 Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances	
Variable Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistic	Pr

variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-statistic	Prop.
C EPS LEVERAGE TVA	2.51E-05 -6.56E-09 4.15E-08 -0.000740	1.63E-06 1.64E-09 3.36E-07 0.000383	15.42084 -3.999422 0.123393 -1.931492	0.0000 0.0001 0.9020 0.0562
VARIANCE	0.000150	0.000523	0.280835	0.7748

#### Hypothesis 1 Testing: Influence of Earning Per Share toward Bid Ask Spread

Based on the result of the research using Random Effect Model known for the Earning Per Share variable in t-statistic test shows negative correlation with the value of probability is 0.0001 where this value is <0.05. Based on the 95% confidence level, it can be deduced that  $H_0$  is rejected. Thus the earning per share has negative influence toward bid ask spread. In general, the coefficient mark is as expected. High earning indicates that the shares of the company has good prospects, this encourages investor to make bigger investment so these shares are actively traded. If a stock is actively traded, then the dealer will not keep these shares for long time. This will result in reduced cost of ownership of shares, processing fees orders and cost information which in turn decreases the level of bid ask spread.

#### Hypothesis 2 Testing: Influence of Leverage toward Bid Ask Spread

Based on the result of the research using Random Effect Model known for the Leverage variable in t-statistic test shows positive correlation with the value of probability is 0.9020 where this



value is >0.05. Based on the 95% confidence level, it can be deduced that  $H_0$  is accepted. Thus the leverage has no influence toward bid ask spread. In general, the coefficient mark is as expected. Leverage is not having influence because although companies listed in Kompas 100 index with high leverage rates are considered more risky, but because the leverage of the company is not too fluctuating, so it does not affect the decision of the investor in buying / selling shares.

#### Hypothesis 3 Testing: Influence of Trading Volume Activities toward Bid Ask Spread

Based on the result of the research using Random Effect Model known for the Trading Volume Activities variable in t-statistic test shows negative correlation with the value of probability is 0.0562 where this value is <0.1. Based on the 90% confidence level, it can be deduced that H<sub>0</sub> is rejected. Thus the Trading Volume Activities has negative influence toward bid ask spread. In general, the coefficient mark is as expected. Large trading volumes indicate that the stock is actively traded, which indicates that stocks are favored by investors and their shares are quickly traded. High stock trading volume can lower share ownership costs resulting in lower spreads. This also causes the processing costs and lower cost charges incurred by the dealer so that the dealers do not have the big costs that make dealers can sell their shares cheaper. Thus the more active trading of stocks or greater trading volume of a stock, the lower the stock cost which means that the bid ask spread will be smaller.

#### Hypothesis 4 Testing: Influence of Stock Return Variance toward Bid Ask Spread

Based on the result of the research using Random Effect Model known for the Stock Return Variance variable in t-statistic test shows positive correlation with the value of probability is 0.7748 where this value is >0.05. Based on the 95% confidence level, it can be deduced that  $H_0$  is accepted. Thus the Stock Return Variance has no influence toward bid ask spread. In general, the coefficient mark is as expected. Stock Return Variance is not having influence due to variations in the return of stocks' rate that are not too large during the study period. Although in general stocks with high returns are preferred by investors, but investors tend to pay attention to capital gains that will be obtained.

#### 11. Conclusion

From this research it can be concluded that:

- 1. Hypothesis 1 accepted, earning per share has negative influence toward bid ask spread.
- 2. Hypothesis 2 rejected, leverage has no influence toward bid ask spread.
- 3. Hypothesis 3 accepted, trading volume activities has negative influence toward bid ask spread.
- 4. Hypothesis 4 rejected, stock return variance has no influence toward bid ask spread.
- 5. Hypothesis 5 accepted, earning per share, leverage, trading volume activities and stock return variance is found to simultaneously have influence toward bid ask spread.



#### 5.2 Recommendation

The object used in this study is a company listed on the Kompas 100 index, so the results cannot be generalized to other sectors, in subsequent research is recommended to use all companies listed in Indonesia stock exchange. Adjusted R square is 0.1211. This shows that earnings per share, leverage, trading activity volume and stock return variance can explain bid ask spread variable of 12.11%, the remaining 87.89% is explained by other variables that are not in this research. In subsequent research is recommended to add another variable that can influence the width of bid ask spread, like stock price, dividend and number of stock trading day.

#### REFERENCE

- Abdultah, 1994. Dictionary of Accounting. BPFE, Yogyakarta.
- Agus Widarjono. 2013. "Ekonometrika Pengantar dan Aplikasi eviews".UPP STIM YKPN: Yogyakarta.
- Article 1 number 5 of Law Number 8 of 1995 Laws of the Republic Indonesia
- Amihud, Yakov and Mendelson, Haim. (1986). "Asset Pricing And The Bid Ask Spread". Journal of Financial Economics.
- Anderson, Sweeney Williams. 2011. "Essentials of Statistics for Business and Economics". South Western
- Aprilia, Zelda. 2015. "Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Bid-Ask Spread Saham LQ-45 di Bursa Efek Indonesia". STIE Perbanas Surabaya
- Atkins, Allen B and Dyl, Edward A. 1997. "Transactions costs and holding periods for common stocks". Journal of Finance, 52(1):309–325.
- Bollen, Nicolas P.B., Smith, Tom., Whaley, Robert E. 2004. "Modeling The Bid Ask Spread : Measuring The Inventory Holding Premium". Journal of Financial Economics Vol 72.
- Borio, Claudio. 2000. "Market Liquidity and Stress: Selected Issue and Policy Implications". BIS Quarterly Review.
- Brigham, Eugene F and Joel F.Houston. 2006. Fundamental of Financial Management". PT. Salemba Empat, Jakarta.
- Brunnermeier, Markus and Pedersen, Lasse. 2009. "Market Liquidity and Funding Liquidity". The Review of Financial Studies Vol. 22.
- Chacko, George. Jurek, Jakub W. Stafford, Erik. 2006. "The Price of Immediacy". HBS Finance Working Paper.
- Chan, N., Shelton, C., Poggio, T., .2001. An Electronic Market Maker.AI Memo, MIT.
- Copeland, Thomas E. and Galai. 1983. "Information Effect on the Bid Ask Spread". The Journal of Finance Volume 38.
- Csavas, C., and Erhart, S. 2005. "Are Hungarian Financial Markets Liquid? the FX and government securities market, Liquidity theory and practice". MNB studies 44.
- Dietl, Helmut. 1998. "Capital Market and Corporate Governance in Japan,Germany and the United States: Organizational Response to Market Inefficiencies". London and New York: Routledge.
- Fitriyah, 2012. "Implikasi Market Value, Varian Return, Laba Per Saham dan Dividen Terhadap Bid-Ask Spread Saham Syariah". Jurnal Istishoduna, Volume 8.
- Frank, Julieta and Garcia, Philip. 2009. "Bid Ask Spread, Volume and Volatility : Evidence from Livestock Markets" Agricultural & Applied Economics Association.



- Ghozali, Imam. 2012. Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program IBM SPSS 20 Edisi 6. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Gitman, L.J. and Zutter, C.J. 2012. "Principles of Managerial Finance". Thirteenth Edition. United States: Prentice Hall.
- Gurusamy. 2009. "Capital Market ". Tata McGraw-Hill Education.
- Handa, Punnet and Schwartz, Robert A. 1996. "Limit Order Trading". The Journal of American Finance Association.
- Harris, L. 1990. "Liquidity, Trading Rules and Electronic Trading Systems". New York University, Salomon center monograph series of finance, 4.
- Hery. 2014. "Pengantar Akuntasi 2" Jakarta.
- Horngren, Charles, T. 2013, "Cost Accounting 14 th Edition. Pearson
- Istanti, Lulu Nurul. 2009. "Pengaruh Harga Saham, Trading Volme Activity dan Resiko Saham terhadap Bid Ask Spread". Jurnal Ekonomi Modernisasi Volume 5.
- Jones, Charles. 2013. "Investment Principles and Concept", Wiley.
- Kieso et al, 2011. "Intermediate Accounting 14th Edition". Asia: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Kothari, Nikhil. 2014. "Role of Capital in Developing Economy". Abhinav National Monthly Refereed Journal of Research in Commerce & Management.
- Lorie JH. 1985. "The Stock Market" R.D Irwin.
- Mudjijah, Slamet. 2017. "Return Share, Trading Volume and Share Price Volatility Effect on the Bid Ask Spread of Companies Registered in LQ 45 Index in Indonesia Stock Exchange". International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research.
- Nabiev, Zikrullo. 2014. "Pengaruh Financial Leverage dan Volume Perdagangan Saham terhadap Bid Ask Spread Perusahaan yang Bergabung dalam Indeks LQ-45". Fakultas Ekonomi. Universitas Syiah Kuala.
- Odit, M.P. and H.B. Chittoo, 2008. "Does financial leverage influence investment decisions?". The case of Mauritian firms. Journal of Business Case Studies.
- Paramitha, Febrica Dewi and Agung, Yulianto. 2014. "Pengaruh Harga Saham, Volume Perdagangan, Likuiditas, dan Leverage terhadap Bid Ask Spread (Studi pada Perusahaan Index JII di Bursa Efek Indonesia tahun 2010-2013)". Accounting Analysis Journal Vol.3
- Pati, P. C. and Rajib, P. 2010. "Volatility Persistence and Trading Volume in an Emerging Futures market: Evidence for NXE Nifty Stock index futures. Journal of Risk Finance.
- Rasyidi, Ahmad dan Murdayanti. 2013. "Analisis Perbandingan Harga Saham, Abnormal Return dan Trading Volume Activity Perusahaan Go Public yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2010-2013". Jurnal Ilmiah Wahana Akuntansi Volume 8.
- Ross, S. A., Westerfield, R. W. & Jordan, B. D. 2011. Fundamentals of Corporate Finance (7th ed.). Irwin: Mc-Graw Hill.
- Ronald J. Gilson & Reinier H. Kraakman. 1984. The Mechanisms of Market Efficiency, 70 VA. L. REV.
- Royani, Eprilia Daniar. 2017. "Pengaruh Closing Price, Earning Per Share, Asset Size, Likuiditas dan Leverage Terhadap Bid Ask Spread Saham Syariah Pada Perusahaan yang Terdaftar di Indeks Saham Syariah Indonesia (ISSI) Periode 2013-2015". Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Islam. Institut Negara Islam Negeri Surakarta.
- Sahalia, Yacine Ait and Yu, Jialin. 2009. "High Frequency Market Microstructure Noise Estimates and Liquidity Measures". The Annals of Applied Statistics.
- Santoso, Halim and Nanik Linawati. "Pengaruh Return dan Varian Return Anggota LQ-45 Terhadap Bid -Ask Spread". FINESTA Vol. 2, No. 2.
- Scott, WR. 2003. Financial Accunting Theory, Third Ed, University of Waterloo, Prentice Hall Canada Inc.
- Sekaran, Uma dan Bougie Roger. 2013. Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach, 5th Edition. United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Shobriati, Ikrima. Darminto dan MG. Wi Endang. 2013. "Pengaruh Harga Saham, Volume Perdagangan Saham dan Varian Return Saham Terhadap Bid Ask Spread di Seputar Pengumuman Stock Split". Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis Volume 5.
- Stoll, Hans R. 1989. "Inferring the Components of the Bid Ask Spread: Theory and Empirical Tests". The Journal of Finance.Vol. 44, No. 1.pp. 115-134.



Subramanyam. K. R dan John J.Wild. 2014. "Analisi Laporan Keuangan". Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

- Sunarko, Tatok. 2016. "Faktor-Faktor yang Berpengaruh Terhadap Bid Ask Spread Saham Syariah (Studi Empiris pada Perusahaan yang Terdaftar di Efek Syariah Tahun 2014-2015). Department of Accounting. Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta.
- Thiono, Handri. 2006. "Perbandingan Keakuratan Model Arus Kas Metoda Langsung Dan Tidak Langsung Dalam Memprediksi Arus Kas Dan Deviden Masa Depan". Simposium Nasional Akuntansi 9 Padang.
- Varadi, Kata. 2012. "Liquidity Risk on Stock Markets". Doctoral Program in Management and Business Administration. Corvinus University of Budapest.

Vogelgang, Ben. 2005. "Econometrics : Theory and Application with Eviews". Prentice Hall.

Widarjono, Agus. 2013. "Ekonometrika: Pengantar dan Aplikasinya" Jakarta: Ekonosia.

- Williams, L. 2007. All in one: Price, volume and open interest. Futures: News, Analysis & Strategies for Futures, Options & Derivatives Traders 36:15, 34 37.
- Weygandt, Jerry J., Paul D. Kimmel, dan Donald E. Kieso. 2013. Financial Accounting, IFRS Edition: 2nd Edition. United States: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

#### COPYRIGHT

All papers submitted must be original, unpublished work not under consideration for publication elsewhere. Authors are responsible to obtain all necessary permission for the reproduction of tables, figures and images and must be appropriately acknowledged. The paper is not defamatory; and the paper does not infringe any other rights of any third party.

The authors agree that the Technical Committee's decision on whether to publish the paper in the Conference's proceedings shall be final. The authors should not treat any communication from the Technical Committee members who reviewed their work as an undertaking to publish the paper.

Prior to final acceptance of the paper, authors are required to confirm in writing that they hold all necessary copyright for their paper and to assign this copyright to the Conference Organizer.



Certificate of Appreciation

This is to certify that

Dr. Antonius Siahaan, SE., Ak., MM., CA

has attended

International Conference on Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Technology 2018

NFERENCE ON INNOVATION ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND TECHNOLOG Presenter

at Indonesia Convention Exhibition (ICE) BSD City, Tangerang, Indonesia 30 - 31 October 2018



Dr. Dipl.-Ing. Samuel P. Kusumocahyo Chairman of Organizing Committee of ICONIET 2018

Organized by:



In Collaboration with:







