

**OPTIMIZATION OF SPRAY DISTILLATION COLUMN
FOR ETHANOL PURIFICATION**

By

Nadine Nadya
11504021

BACHELOR'S DEGREE

in

CHEMICAL ENGINEERING – PHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING
FACULTY OF LIFE SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY



SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY
The Prominence Tower
Jalan Jalur Sutera Barat No. 15, Alam Sutera
Tangerang, Banten 15143 - Indonesia

July 2019

Revision after the Thesis Defense on July 17th 2019

STATEMENT BY THE AUTHOR

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and to the best of my knowledge, it contains no material previously published or written by another person, nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at any educational institution, except where due acknowledgement is made in the thesis.

Nadine Nadya

Student

Date

Approved by:

Dr. Irvan S. Kartawiria, S.T., M.Sc.

Thesis Advisor

Date

Dr. –Ing. Diah I. Widiputri, S.T., M.Sc.

Thesis Co-Advisor

Date

Dr. Dipl. –Ing. Samuel P. Kusumocahyo

Dean

Date

Nadine Nadya

ABSTRACT

OPTIMIZATION OF SPRAY DISTILLATION COLUMN FOR ETHANOL PURIFICATION

By

Nadine Nadya

Dr. Irvan S. Kartawiria, S.T., M.Sc.

Dr. -Ing. Diah I. Widiputri, S.T., M.Sc.

SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY

Spray distillation principles have been proved to be one efficient method to enhance the purity of ethanol. Spray distillation worked by utilizing diffusion, which enables ethanol to evaporate at 40°C. Due to the idealizing assumptions applied on the spray distillation model, this research aims to create a more realistic model of the apparatus. Redesigning the top head part of the apparatus, installing two nozzles, and introducing hot air into the column achieved a more realistic model. By creating vapor flux and vapor flow direction mathematical models, information regarding respectively velocity of vapor flowing through the outlet and the direction of vapor flow could be gained and used for creating a new head design. The new model was tested at various variations of feed concentrations and feed flow rates and was proven to be able to purify ethanol from 15%–70% v/v to 53%–94% v/v with ethanol yield of 33%–59% v/v. The most optimum operating feed rate was known to be 36 ml/min for all feed rates compared to the other tested feed rates. The new model was also able to produce condensate at the top outlet of the column, which was not possible at the previous model. The formation of condensate was known to have a linear effect with flow rate increase and decline with concentration increase.

Keywords: distillation, separation, ethanol–water separation, droplet evaporation, condensation



© Copyright 2019
by Nadine Nadya
All rights reserved

SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to my parents,
who like to complicate and exaggerate things



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to start my acknowledgements by thanking God for His endless support. Without Him, I wouldn't be able to pass all of the things that I've been through and be who I am today. He has made possible all of the things that I thought were not possible and made me go far and beyond.

I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Irvan S. Kartawiria, S.T., M.Sc., who believed in me even through my worst days and for his patience in dealing with my slumps. Without his guidance and support, I would not be able to make this thesis possible. I would also like to thank Dr. -Ing. Diah I. Widiputri, S.T., M.Sc., who has been there to listen to all of my frustrations and boring jokes, and also for being available to contact anytime when I am in need of help. Not to forget, my biggest gratitude is dedicated to Mila Sari, S.T., B.Eng., for being a good mentor and for all the laughs and complaints that were thrown between the creations of this thesis.

I would also like to thank Joshua Sabarman who always tried to solve my problems, gave me motivation, and dragged me out of my procrastination. Especially for Ivana Julisantika, for all the supports, good laughs, care, gossips, and the memories shared during this journey. Also not forgetting Chantika Mayadewi who helped me with the 3D drawings attached in this thesis and also for bringing joy while I was so coped up with my thesis' problems.

Last but not least, I would like to thank Kak Said and Kak Rizal for the help and entertainments during the experiments done at SGU Lab, my dearest high school friends that once in a while help me relieve stress, my family who cared about the well being of my thesis, and to everyone whom I cannot personally mention one by one, my utmost gratitude is dedicated to you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| STATEMENT BY THE AUTHOR..... | 2 |
| ABSTRACT..... | 3 |
| DEDICATION..... | 5 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS..... | 6 |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | 7 |
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | 9 |
| LIST OF TABLES..... | 11 |
| CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION..... | 12 |
| 1.1. Background..... | 12 |
| 1.2. Research Objective..... | 13 |
| 1.3. Significance of Study..... | 14 |
| 1.4. Research Question..... | 14 |
| 1.5. Hypothesis..... | 14 |
| CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW..... | 15 |
| 2.1. Bioethanol as Biofuel..... | 15 |
| 2.2. Distillation Theory of Ethanol-Water Mixture..... | 16 |
| 2.3. Spray Distillation Column for Ethanol Purification..... | 17 |
| 2.4. Ethanol Evaporation from Droplets..... | 20 |
| 2.4.1. Mass Transfer Operation of Evaporation..... | 20 |
| 2.4.2. Mathematical Modeling of Ethanol Droplet Evaporation..... | 22 |
| 2.4.3. Mathematical Model of Evaporation Rate..... | 25 |
| 2.5. Condensation of Binary Mixture Vapor..... | 26 |
| CHAPTER 3 – RESEARCH METHODS..... | 28 |
| 3.1. Research Framework..... | 28 |
| 3.2. Mathematical Modeling..... | 29 |
| 3.2.1. Vapor Flow Direction Modelling..... | 30 |
| 3.2.2. Vapor Flux Modelling..... | 30 |
| 3.2.3. Mathematical Model Simulation..... | 31 |
| 3.3. Prototyping and Validation..... | 31 |
| 3.3.1. Column and Operational Design..... | 31 |
| 3.3.2. Prototype Testing..... | 34 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 3.3.3. Analysis | 35 |
| CHAPTER 4 – RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS..... | 37 |
| 4.1. Distillation Column Redesigning..... | 37 |
| 4.1.1. Vapor Flux..... | 37 |
| 4.1.2. Vapor Flow Direction..... | 43 |
| 4.1.3. Design Justification | 45 |
| 4.2. Performance Evaluation..... | 47 |
| 4.2.1. Top Product (Distillate) Purity | 49 |
| 4.2.2. Separation Factor..... | 61 |
| 4.2.3. Distillate Yield..... | 62 |
| 4.2.4. Condensate Formation..... | 65 |
| CHAPTER 5 – CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 68 |
| 5.1. Conclusions..... | 68 |
| 5.2. Recommendations..... | 69 |
| GLOSSARY | 70 |
| REFERENCES | 72 |
| APPENDICES | 76 |
| Appendix 1. 3D model of the prototype | 76 |
| Appendix 2. Distillate mass balance calculation example..... | 77 |
| Appendix 3. Vapor flux calculation example | 78 |
| Appendix 4. Real operating condition of the column..... | 79 |
| CURRICULUM VITAE..... | 80 |



SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY