

**APPLICATION OF RESISTANT STARCH FROM UNRIPE “KEPOK”
BANANA (*Musa paradisiaca formatypica*) IN BATTER COATING
FORMULATION TO REDUCE OIL ABSORPTION IN FRIED FOOD**

By

Lisa Vania
11305015



SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY
The Prominence Tower
Jalan Jalur Sutera Barat No.15, Alam Sutera
Tangerang 15143 - Indonesia

AUGUST 2017
Revision after the Thesis Defense on 18 July 2017

STATEMENT BY THE AUTHOR

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and to the best of my knowledge, it contains no material previously published or written by another person, nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at any educational institution, except where due acknowledgement is made in the thesis.

Lisa Vania

Student

Date

Approved by:

Mutiara Pratiwi.Tp, M.Si

Thesis Advisor

Date

Prof. Dr. Bambang Hariyanto, M.S

Thesis Co-Advisor

Date

Dr. Dipl.-Ing. Samuel P. Kusumocahyo

Dean

Date

Lisa Vania

ABSTRACT

APPLICATION OF RESISTANT STARCH FROM UNRIPE “KEPOK” BANANA (*Musa paradisiac formatypica*) IN BATTER COATING FORMULATION TO REDUCE OIL ABSORPTION IN FRIED FOOD

By

Lisa Vania, Student
Mutiara Pratiwi. Tp, M.Si, Advisor
Prof. Dr. Bambang Hariyanto, M.S., Co-Advisor

SWISS GERMAN UNIVERISTY

The aim of this study is using white “Kepok” banana (*Musa paradisiaca formatypica*) as the source of Resistant Starch and applying the resistant starch in batter coating formulation to provide a healthier batter coating that contributes to lower oil absorption. Methods of banana starch extraction used water alkaline method. Banana starch which contain RS 2 is modified to RS 3 with method of repeated autoclaving cooling cycle and combination of debranching – autoclaving cooling. Resistant starch both RS 2 and RS 3 are applied in the batter coating formulation at 3 level ratio (10%, 30% and 50%) and analyze through its effect. Analysis were done on the effect of the resistant starch towards the batter and battered product which were then analyze based on fat content, coating pick up, water retention capacity and sensory evaluation. Throughout the study , it was found that repeated method of autoclaving cooling is better than debranching in the modification of the resistant starch. In terms of reducing oil absorption, it was found that RS 3 Autoclave at 30% substitution have the least oil absorption and is the most preferable in terms of sensory evaluation.

Keywords: Musa paradisiaca formatypica, resistant starch, fat content, water retention capacity, coating pick up,



© Copyright 2017
By Lisa Vania
All rights reserved

SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY

DEDICATION

I dedicate this study for my family and the future of healthy food for a healthier world.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, the utmost gratitude is expressed to the Almighty God for the intervention in my academic endeavour.

Second, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my parents, Teguh Tarius and Endrijantini Handoko and my sister Benedicta Bella Benita . The ones who have always been there for me since I was born to this world and who always support me in every steps that I take .

I would also express my sincere thanks to Ms.Mutiara Pratiwi and Mr. Bambang Hariyanto as my thesis advisor & co-advisor for their sincere and valuable knowledge and guidance extended to me. Thank you for your support and believing of the capability that I have.

I take this opportunity to also express my appreciation to all those who have been supporting me to never give up on finishing my study:

1. Mrs. Dr. rer. nat. Filiana Santoso as the Rector of Swiss German University & Mrs. Maria D.P.T Gunawan-Puteri, PhD as the Head of Food Technology Department of Swiss German University. Thank you for guiding and inspiring me.
2. Mr. Muzi Marpaung, Mr. Samuel Kusumochayo , Ms. Lisa Yakhin., Mr Alit Pangestu, Mrs Nila Kusumawaty , Mr. Roziq Israfiandi, Ms. Regina Stefani , Mr. Gerald Justin and Life Sciences & Technology lecturers and staffs in special. Thank you for being patience and guiding me in hard times of thesis.
3. Jeany Tamara, Evelyne Dermawan, Florence Ignatia, Giovanni Widjaja Jesslyn Nasthasja, Justin Harimas, Elias Harmanto, Steven Chandra , Edric Octavianus Santoso, Sudono Mahadika, Kevin Cahyadi Untoro and Bill Utomo Kristyo. I really am blessed to met people like you. Although we might have our own road in pursuing our dream but no matter how far we are apart,

you will always be and always will be the people who cherish my 4 years with joy, laughter and love and the one I had the best days of my life with.

4. All my class and laboratory colleagues.

Last but not least, I would thank to one and all who, directly or indirectly, have supported and helped me in this academic venture.

Jakarta, June 19th, 2017

Lisa Vania



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
STATEMENT BY THE AUTHOR	1
ABSTRACT	3
DEDICATION	5
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	6
TABLE OF CONTENTS	8
LIST OF FIGURES	9
LIST OF TABLES	10
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	11
1.1 Background	11
1.2 Research Problem	12
1.3 Research Objectives	13
1.4 Significance of study	13
1.5 Research Question	13
1.6 Hypothesis	13
CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW	15
2.1 Banana	15
2.2 Resistant Starch	16
2.2.1 Definition	16
2.2.2 General Structure and Classification	16
2.2.3 Resistant Starch as functional foods and functional ingredient	19
2.3 Frying	21
2.4 Batter Coating and battered product	21
2.5 Oil Absorption in fried food	22
CHAPTER 3 – RESEARCH METHODS	23
3.1 Venue and Time	23
3.2 Materials and Equipment	23
3.2.1 Materials	23
3.2.2 Equipment	23
3.3 Design of Experiment	24
3.3.1 Stage 1 : Selection of RS 3 Modification method	24
3.3.2 Stage 2 : Substitutions of wheat flour with RS from banana in batter coating	25
3.4 Experimental Procedure	26

3.4.1 Extraction of RS2.....	26
3.4.2 Modification of Resistant Starch 2 to Resistant starch 3	28
3.4.3 Formulation of batter coating.....	29
3.5 Analytical Procedures.....	30
3.5.1 Characterization of banana starch.....	30
3.5.2 Chemical Analysis.....	35
3.5.3 Physical Analysis.....	35
3.5.4 Sensory Analysis.....	36
CHAPTER 4 – RESULT & DISCUSSION	37
4.1 Extraction of RS2.....	37
4.2 Characterization of Banana Starch RS 2.....	38
4.3 Modification of RS2 to RS 3.....	39
4.4 Starch Digestibility and Amylose Content.....	40
4.5 Analysis of Product.....	45
4.5.1 Physical Evaluation of RS2 & RS 3.....	45
4.5.2 Effect of Resistant Starch on the batter and battered product properties	54
4.5.3 Effect of RS on the battered product sensory properties	60
CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION.....	63
5.1 Conclusion	63
5.2 Recommendation.....	63
APPENDICES	64
REFERENCES.....	114
CURRICULUM VITAE.....	120

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Classification , description and food source of various type resistant starch	16
Figure 2.2 Resistant starch type 1 granules	17
Figure 2.3 Resistant starch type 2 granules	18
Figure 3.1 Process of selecting the RS 3 modification method	24
Figure 3.2 Process of Substitution of wheat flour to RS 3.....	25
Figure 3.3 Extraction of Banana Starch	27
Figure 4.1 Result of Starch Digestibility in Starch	40
Figure 4.2 Digestibility of uncooked starches (Dreher et al., 2009).....	41
Figure 4.3 Amylose content of starch	43