

**RADIATION DOSIMETRY ON THE ACCURACY OF LINEAR
ACCELERATOR (LINAC) RADIATION DOSE USING IONIZATION
CHAMBER AND THERMOLUMINESCENT DOSIMETER**

By

Imani Kamila
14312053

BACHELOR'S DEGREE
in

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING – BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING CONCENTRATION
LIFE SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY



SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY
EduTown BSD City
Tangerang 15339
Indonesia

August 2016

Revision after Thesis Defense on 21st July 2016

STATEMENT BY THE AUTHOR

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and to the best of my knowledge, it contains no material previously published or written by another person, nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any degree or diploma at any educational institution, except where due acknowledgement is made in the thesis.



Imani Kamila

Student

Date

Approved by:

M Fathony, Ph. D

Thesis Advisor

Date

Dr. Dipl. –Ing. Samuel P. Kusumocahyo

Dean

Date

Imani Kamila

ABSTRACT

RADIATION DOSIMETRY ON THE ACCURACY OF LINEAR ACCELERATOR (LINAC) RADIATION DOSE USING IONIZATION CHAMBER AND THERMOLUMINESCENT DOSIMETER

By

Imani Kamila

M. Fathony, Ph. D, Advisor

SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY

A linear accelerator (LINAC) is an instrument that accelerates charged particles such as electrons or photons using high frequency electromagnetic waves. LINAC is one of the modality that is used in radiation therapy to eliminate cancer cells. With high dosage of radiation, the surrounding healthy cells are prone to be irradiated. Therefore, maintaining the distribution of radiation dose is important. An international code of practice on standards of absorbed dose to water had been published by IAEA, stating that an accuracy of $\pm 5\%$ of the prescribed dose is essential. Two types of radiation dosimetry were used for this research; ionization chamber and thermoluminescent dosimetry (TLD). Eight main points of measurement is used to measure the distribution of radiation dose. Both radiation dosimetry are adjusted to the following points and it is fixed on the solid water phantom. The solid water phantom is irradiated with several energy, photon beams 6 MV and 10 MV, electron beams 18 MeV, with the dose of 100 MU. The accuracy of radiation dose at isocenter in all irradiation beams measurement is below $\pm 5\%$ of 1 Gy. But, several points of measurement inside targeted area receive more than $\pm 5\%$ of the prescribed dose. The average dose outside the targeted area still receives up to 3.4 % to 24 % of the prescribed dose. The amount of radiation dose that is received outside the targeted area may increase risks of patients during radiation therapy.

Keywords: linear accelerator, radiation dosimetry, ionizing radiation beam, ionization chamber, thermoluminescent dosimetry



DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis for cancer patients, who are still battling for their life.
And I dedicate this work for my beloved family.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I would like to gratefully thank Allah the Almighty, for his blessing and protection.

I wish to thank my advisor Mr. M. Fathony, Ph.D., for his sincere and valuable teaching and encouragement extended to me. Also the patience that he had guiding me through this journey. I am sorry for any mistakes that I might have done.

To the medical physics at Siloam TB Simatupang; Mrs. Nelly, Ms. Sisca, thank you for your endless help and assistance on data taking that is necessary to complete my thesis. My gratitude for your time that you had spent on helping me. I am sorry for any troubles that I might have caused. To the staffs of dosimetry department at PTKMR-BATAN; Mrs. Dyah, Mrs. Helfi, Mrs. Yati, thank you for your patience and help through this thesis writing. Thank you also for allowing me to use the facilities that were given at PTKMR-BATAN.

I take this opportunity to express gratitude to all of the Life Sciences friends and lecturers for their help and support. To my fellow biomedical engineers, who I share my most feeling with, thank you for your endless support. Nevertheless, my non-Life Sciences friends, thank you for always being there in good times and bad times.

Last but not least, my biggest sincere gratitude to my family. Mom and dad thank you for always having faith in me and support me unconditionally. I hope I make you proud. For my siblings, Kak Putri, Kak Gadis, and Luqman, thank you for cheering me up and encouragement for me to finish this thesis. And for Adam, thank you for your constant support and company during one of my toughest time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT BY THE AUTHOR.....	2
ABSTRACT.....	3
DEDICATION.....	5
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	6
TABLE OF CONTENTS	7
LIST OF FIGURES	9
LIST OF TABLES	11
CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION.....	12
1.1. Background.....	12
1.2. Research Problems.....	14
1.3. Research Objectives.....	14
1.4. Significance Study	15
1.5. Research Questions.....	15
1.6. Hypothesis.....	15
CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW.....	16
2.1. Radiation Therapy.....	16
2.2. Medical Linear Accelerator	17
2.4. Radiation Dosimetry	20
2.4.1. Ionization Chamber.....	20
2.4.2. Thermoluminescent Dosimeter.....	22
2.4.3. Solid Water Phantom.....	23
CHAPTER 3 - RESEARCH METHODS	24
3.1. Venue and Time.....	24
3.2. Materials and Equipment.....	24
3.3. Design of Experiments.....	24
3.4. Experimental Procedure.....	27
3.4.1. Ionization Chamber Measurement.....	29
3.4.2. TLD Measurement	31
3.5. Analytical Procedure.....	34
CHAPTER 4 – RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	36
4.1. Result Analysis from Ionization Chamber Measurement.....	36

4.1.1. Analysis on Photon Beam 6 MV, 100 MU	36
4.1.2. Analysis on Photon Beam 10 MV, 100 MU	39
4.1.3. Analysis on Electron Beam 18 MeV, 100 MU	42
4.2. Comparison between energy levels result on Ionization Chamber measurement	45
4.3. Result Analysis from TLD Chips Measurement.....	46
4.3.1. Analysis on Photon Beam 6 MV, 100 MU	46
4.3.2. Analysis on Photon Beam 10 MV, 100 MU	48
4.3.3. Analysis on Electron Beam 18 MeV, 100 MU	50
4.4. Comparison between energy levels result on TLD chips measurement	53
4.5. Comparison between Ionization Chamber and TLD chips result	54
CHAPTER 5 – CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....	56
5.1. Conclusions.....	56
5.2. Recommendations.....	57
GLOSSARY	58
REFERENCES.....	61
APPENDICES.....	63
Appendix 1 – IAEA Technical Report Series No. 398 – Chapter 1	63
Appendix 2 – Data Results, Tables, Figures.....	65
Appendix 3 – Equations and Formulas.....	92
CURRICULUM VITAE.....	94



SWISS GERMAN UNIVERSITY